

DO NOT DEFENENCE

THE END-USE LOADS AND CONSERVATION ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

ANCILLARY DATABASE PLANNING AND SPECIFICATION

December, 1984

M.J. King

Prepared for:

The Bonneville Power Administration $U.\ S.\ Department$ of Energy

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The End-Use Loads and Conservation Assessment Program (ELCAP) is a large, end-use, hourly metering experiment composed of a number of individual metering projects. On the residential side, two projects are underway. The first is a sample of 500 residential units (attached and detached) drawn from a stratified sample from the 1983 Pacific Northwest Residential Energy Consumption Survey, or PNRES. An additional 200 residential dwellings will be metered in a controlled experiment to determine the effects of the Northwest Power Planning Council's model energy conservation standards.

The commercial work consists of three studies. In the first, 200 commercial buildings in the Seattle City Light service area are being metered. Thirty of the instrumented buildings will have been constructed according to the Seattle Energy Code (adopted in 1981), while the remaining 170 buildings will be randomly selected to represent pre-code construction. In the second study, in support of the Commercial Audit Program, approximately 40 commercial buildings are to be given energy audits and metered to determine the appropriateness of the audit recommendations and the accuracy of the estimated energy savings from retrofit. An additional 27 buildings will be metered to document the energy savings of the Purchase of Energy Savings Program, a pilot project to procure energy conservation through third party shared savings contracts.

The ELCAP database will provide the necessary field data to:

- o validate the potential for conservation available to offset future generation needs,
- o examine the timing of electricity use and, therefore, the load impacts of end-use electricity conservation measures, and
- o estimate the electricity consumption by end-use of the regions' building stock to support BPA's end-use forecasting models.

The load data itself, however, will not provide the necessary information to conduct these analyses. Data on the characteristics of the buildings to be monitored, their occupancy patterns, demographic and economic characteristics of the occupants, and billing information must also be collected.

This document presents the plan for defining, acquiring, and storing the contents of the non-load data necessary for analysis of the ELCAP database. In order to determine what are the ancillary data requirements, the uses of the ELCAP data must be defined. Therefore, we first discuss, in broad terms, the various types of analysis that may be conducted on the ELCAP

database in the following section. Section 3 discusses the design of the database, focussing on how data will be accessed, selection of the database manager, the structure of the database, data collection and entry, and data security.

The document concludes with a discussion of the contents and source of the ancillary database. Two alternatives are presented: 1) an ancillary database containing most of the data necessary to support the types of analysis described in Section 2, and 2) an ancillary database which will support cross-tabulation of the load data, but will not contain the information necessary to support some of the potential analysis efforts. The first database will require additional project funding to support, while the second database can be supported within existing project funds.

2.0 DATA ANALYSIS

The ELCAP program was initiated to support 1) the assessment of conservation potential in the Pacific Northwest, including the effectiveness of the Northwest Power Planning Council's Model Conservation Standards, 2) the end-use load forecasting models of the residential and commercial sectors, and 3) research on load shape.

In the following paragraphs, the types of analysis likely to be conducted using ELCAP data are discussed in fairly general terms. The topics singled out for discussion are not intended to be exhaustive inventory of the analyses that will be conducted, but representative of the types of analysis likely to be undertaken. Next, the types of ancillary data (again, in general terms) necessary to support analysis are described. Finally, this section concludes with a brief discussion of extrapolating the data from the ELCAP sample to the region as a whole.

2.1 CONSERVATION ASSESSMENT

The Pacific Northwest Regional Power and Planning Act placed the priority on meeting increased electrical loads with conservation and renewable resources over traditional thermal generation plants. The Northwest Power Planning Council, the body set up by the act to perform the power planning in the Northwest, intends to rely heavily upon conservation as a resource to defer generation needs.

The rationale for relying heavily on conservation as a resource lies in the uncertainties of cost, timing and environmental impacts associated with construction of large thermal generation plants, and in the uncertainty of the future level of demand. Since the future level of electricity demand is a subject of substantial disagreement, and because the lead time for a new coal steam generation plant is approximately 7-9 years, the Council felt that its resource plan must be flexible to adjust to changes in the need for power.

The resource plan, therefore, emphasizes what the Council calls the "options" approach to power planning, relying on resources which can rapidly be introduced or suspended. Central station plants are not very flexible—their lead times are simply too long. Conservation resources, however, appear to be quite flexible. They come in small units, a program can be implemented quite rapidly, and consumer participation tends to be high.

2.1.1 Conservation Analysis Topics

Since conservation is a demand side resource, information on its availability, reliability and cost is subject to a fair amount of uncertainty. This uncertainty results from unknown

characteristics of energy requirements at the end-use level; the interaction of economic, demographic, and capital stock factors affecting energy use and, therefore, conservation decisions; and the lack of field experience in acquiring conservation resources.

Analyses are likely to be undertaken on many topics, including: conservation potential, effects of building energy performance standards, performance of specific conservation measures, assessment of appropriateness of building audit recommendations, and conservation marketing. These topics are described below.

Conservation Potential: This is one of the most important issues in conservation analysis. Since conservation measures are applied at the end-use level, it is quite important to know how electricity use is distributed among the various end-uses. This has historically been done through the application of studies from other regions, sub-metering on a limited sample size, conditional demand analysis (statistical allocation of total demand to end-use demand), and/or simulation of end-use energy consumption via engineering models. Unfortunately, these methods are always at least one step removed from actual empirical measurement of conservation potential and suffer from reliance upon professional judgment, small sample sizes, simulation of conditions, or out-of-region extrapolation of results.

ELCAP will provide field data on total building energy consumption as well as energy consumption by end-use. For regional conservation and power system planning purposes, this information must be available at the regional level, and would be desirable at some disaggregation of the region.

Impact of Model Conservation Standards: The Northwest Power Planning Council called for the implementation of energy efficiency standards on all new electrically heated residential and commercial buildings. Failure to implement equivalent standards by the appropriate governmental bodies will result in the imposition of a surcharge on the utility serving the area.

The model conservation standards have been criticized within the Pacific Northwest and elsewhere as ineffective, costly, and inappropriate. The ELCAP program contains an experiment to look at the effects of the model conservation standards on electricity use. The Residential Standards Demonstration Project (RSDP) will meter 200 newly constructed homes. Half (100) will be constructed to the specification of the model conservation standards, while the remaining 100 dwellings will be constructed according to current design practice and will serve as the control group.

In order to assess the effects of the model standards, differences in factors affecting energy use (such as occupant

behavior, climate, equipment utilization) between the homes built to the prescriptions of the model standards and the control homes must be accounted for. Typically, regression analysis is used to explain the effects of these variables on energy use. By allowing only the energy efficiency to vary, the energy savings of the standards can then be estimated. The savings can then be compared with the incremental cost of constructing buildings according to the standards, providing BPA and the Council with field data on the cost and energy savings of the model standards.

For commercial buildings, 30 of the 200 sites in Seattle have been constructed since 1981 when the Seattle Energy Code went into effect. This code is similar, in most respects, to the prescriptions of the Council's Model Conservation Code for commercial buildings. An assessment of the effectiveness of the Seattle Energy Code, therefore, should also be performed using an approach similar to the residential evaluation.

<u>Performance of Energy Conservation Measures</u>: There are two issues here:

- o by what amount will an energy conservation measure increase energy efficiency?
- o will individuals alter their use of the energy consuming device, thus reducing the expected energy savings?

Typically, thermal simulation models have been used to estimate the efficiency improvements gained by retrofiting buildings with conservation options such as more efficient space conditioning equipment and/or improved thermal integrity of the building envelope. For other conservation measures, engineering calculations are typically performed based upon first principles.

Thermal simulation, however, relies on very detailed information about the building and its equipment, including: the thermal resistance of the walls, roof, floor, windows, and doors; occupancy/use patterns; type of equipment and their efficiencies; interior loads; etc. Unfortunately, inaccuracies in this information can easily lead to incorrect results. ELCAP will provide field data that will allow the ability of the thermal models to predict energy savings to be assessed.

The second issue is the critical one—what is the energy savings expected to accrue from implementing an energy conservation measure? Improving the efficiency of consumers electricity consuming stock may induce them to increase their demand for services of the stock, thus reducing the effectiveness of the conservation measure. Will the conservation measure offset sufficient capacity requirements at the time of system peak to avoid a brownout? Will consumers use conservation

measures, such as setback thermostats and storm windows, after they are installed as part of a conservation program? These are just a subset of the issues that require field measurement of the performance of conservation measures, and ELCAP, fortunately, will provide the necessary information to assess the actual savings that accrue from weatherization activities.

Commercial Audits: An important issue is the accuracy and appropriateness of building energy audits for commercial buildings. If the commercial building audit is to be of any use in assessing conservation resource potential or in identifying energy management strategies, the accuracy of the predicted energy savings arising from audit recommendations, as well as the ranking of conservation measure attractiveness, must be known.

As part of the commercial audit program, approximately 40 commercial buildings will be metered using the same techniques and equipment as in ELCAP. These buildings will have a detailed audit performed upon them, and the auditor will recommend specific conservation retrofit measures which could be applied to reduce energy use in the building. The auditor's recommendations are often based upon a detailed thermal simulation of the building.

Using the metered end-use data, the audit estimates of conservation potential and estimated end-use energy use will be assessed. The evaluation will have to examine:

- o the ability of the audit to estimate conservation potential, energy savings, and costs;
- o the usefulness of the audit forms and procedures;
- o the ability of the auditors to obtain, record, and analyze the necessary building information; and
- o the accuracy of thermal simulation models in estimating thermal loads, equipment energy use, and utilization of equipment.

Conservation Marketing: If conservation programs are to be used to offset generation requirements, then Bonneville must have a good idea of the quality of the resource and where the highest payoff is (lowest expenditure per conserved kWh or kW). Conservation or demand side management programs, therefore, must be able to "target" specific consumers for program participation. In order to accomplish this, however, we must first be able to segment the potential resource by criteria likely to affect its attractiveness.

Perhaps an example will clarify this point. A potentially attractive demand side management program is direct load control of air conditioning in the region east of the Cascades. In order for the program to be of maximum efficiency (maximum ability to

displace load for a minimum cost), only air conditioners likely to be on at the time control is desired should be controlled. In order to effectively acquire the resource, therefore, the program design would need to discriminate between households where all occupants are absent from the house during the day, and those where someone is home and is requesting air conditioning services during the likely control periods.

While direct load control is a specialized example, marketing research is crucial to the success of future demand side management efforts in the Pacific Northwest. Identifying the characteristics of a building which make it a better candidate for weatherization than the average building will enhance the ability to discriminate "cost-effective" building conservation opportunities from inefficient opportunities.

The ability to market conservation will depend upon the knowledge BPA has of the magnitude, accessibility, and cost of recovering the resource by market segment. ELCAP will provide the necessary support for performing a market segmentation analysis if, and only if, the necessary building structure, demographic and economic characteristics are collected.

2.1.2 Ancillary Data Requirements for Conservation Analysis

The following paragraphs describe, in rather broad terms, the types of data that are necessary to support the analysis activities described above.

Conservation Potential: A thorough accounting of each building's thermal characteristics is necessary to explain the thermal integrity of the building. On the commercial side, audits will provide the building's average U value (or the average thermal transmittance of the building), as well as the U for the roof and each wall orientation. Glazing area and U values will also be collected, as will the type of construction. On the residential side, estimates of the level of shell insulation, amount of glass, orientation, and infiltration will provide the ability to assess the thermal integrity of the shell.

Detailed information on the age, efficiency, and connected load of the capital stock and its occupancy or use profile will allow the estimation of the potential conservation resource.

Conservation Measure Performance and MCS Demonstration: As mentioned in Section 2.1.1, this analysis area consists of two topics: technical improvements in efficiency, and the effect of behavior upon improvements in efficiency. In order to conduct either type of analysis, the ELCAP sample must be segmented into those sites where the conservation measure in question has been installed, and those sites where the measure is absent.

Assessment of the technical improvements in energy efficiency arising from the conservation measure or the imposition of efficiency standards require knowledge of all of the characteristics of the end-use consuming capital stock identified above, as well as weather and technical characteristics that may not be obtained for the full sample of buildings. The technical assessment activity, due to its extreme data requirements, may be supported through case studies.

Assessment of the behavioral influence on the performance of conservation measures will require information on the demographic and economic characteristics of the occupant/owner, fuel prices, whether the occupant or owner pays the fuel bill, past conservation actions and the occupant/owners' attitudes towards conservation.

Commercial Audits: In addition to detailed characteristics of the building, its occupancy and schedule of operation, and equipment description, the recommendations of the auditor, his estimate of end-use energy use, and energy savings must be available for this analysis task.

Data on the costs of energy conservation measures proposed or actually installed will also be necessary to assess the economic performance of the energy conservation measures.

Conservation Marketing: This conservation analysis area will require information on the building occupants' and owners' attitudes towards conservation, and their perceptions of the importance of conservation as a regional and national issue. In order to conduct market segmentation studies, the demographic and economic characteristics of the occupants/owners must be obtained, as well as the characteristics of the building and its capital stock.

2.2 LOAD FORECASTING

The Division of Power Forecasting in BPA currently uses two long-term end-use energy demand forecasting models. These models are derivatives of the ORNL Residential and Commercial energy demand forecasting models. End-use models have achieved acceptance within the power-forecasting community because of their disaggregation of building or household energy use into consumption of electricity by water heaters, furnaces, air conditioners, etc. Strict econometric or trend forecasting methods, such as relating households or employees to energy use, usually fail to capture the effects of changing capital stocks. End-use models avoid this weakness by carefully tracking the composition and efficiency of the capital stock, thus allowing the forecaster to incorporate the effects of building energy use design standards, more efficient appliances, etc. into the forecast explicitly.

2.2.1 Load Forecasting Data Analysis

Unit Energy Consumption: One serious weakness of end-use models is that there is usually insufficient data to support the assumptions of energy use by end-use, let alone energy use by vintage of the end-use capital stock (these metrics are commonly referred to as the unit energy consumption, or UEC, in the load forecasting community). In the case of the BPA residential model, the end-use electricity use data was derived primarily from a conditional demand study using the 1979 PNRES survey. In this approach, the household energy use is disaggregated to end-use energy consumption using the composition of the households' energy-consuming capital stock.

The ELCAP database will provide information on electricity consumption by end-use. This data will allow the estimation of the unit energy consumption (or UEC's) directly from field measured data. Field measurement of the UEC's will allow, for the first time, a detailed validation of the models' end-use forecasts, and, perhaps, development of improved forecasting techniques.

Equipment Utilization Indices: ELCAP may also allow additional validation of parameters within the models. These models adjust the forecast of energy use to reflect the change in the utilization of the capital stock arising from changes in fuel prices and income. The parameters used to alter the forecast for utilization effects were derived by assumption—ELCAP could provide the data necessary to estimate the utilization effects directly from Northwest field data.

Homogeneity of Forecast Groups: One of the strengths of the end-use modelling approach is its disaggregate approach. Energy use is built up from geographic regions and specific segments of the capital stock (i.e. end-uses and building types). This type of model presumes that the segments and regions are homogenous in the factors that determine energy use for the segment. ELCAP data will allow a segmentation of ELCAP sites to determine whether the end-uses, building types, and geographic areas currently used in the end-use models are appropriate.

Forecasting Model Validation: Finally, and perhaps most important, ELCAP will provide the data necessary to evaluate the current power forecasting tools used in the Pacific Northwest. It may be quite possible that a new generation of load forecasting models will be developed based on the metered data to correct inadequacies of the current set of models.

2.2.2 Load Forecasting Ancillary Data Requirements

<u>Unit Energy Consumption</u>: This is essentially the same as the conservation assessment potential analysis topic. Additional data on the connected load for each device attached to a mixed

use channel will allow the expansion of the number of end-uses for which UEC's can be derived using conditional demand analysis.

Homogeneity of Forecast Groups: The geographic area of the site, its building and occupant characteristics, the types of equipment connected to the electric system, as well as the fuel types of equipment competing with electricity are necessary to perform the segmentation of sites and end-uses.

<u>Equipment Utilization Indices</u>: The data specified above must be supplemented with fuel prices, economic characteristics of the occupant/owner, and income or a business activity index.

2.3 LOAD RESEARCH

A critical piece of information in the power system planning process is the expected load shape in future periods. Because electricity demand varies on hourly, weekly, and seasonal time periods, and because generation plants possess differing economic and operational characteristics, load shape will determine the optimal mix of generating resources. Historically, Bonneville has not provided forecasts of load shape—only forecasts of system peak demand and annual energy use were supported with available data.

Load shape is important in both power system operation and in power system planning. In power system planning, the problem is to forecast the future expected level of demand, and to select the resources best suited to meet the expected demand given both engineering and economic criteria. Because load shape is not constant through time, and because it is affected by the implementation of conservation programs, weather, changes in fuel prices, income (revealed in changes in the electricity consuming capital stock, as well as changes in the utilization of the existing electricity consuming capital stock), and other factors, the resources that will be best suited to meet expected loads will have differing capital and operating costs.

The size and number of the types of generation units will depend upon the size and timing of the load expected to be served. A typical power system will have:

- o baseload generation units, with relatively high initial capital costs and relatively low operating costs, that are operated on a relatively continuous basis during all demand periods,
- o intermediate generation units, with intermediate levels of both capital and operating costs, that generate power on an intermittent basis during periods of intermediate and peak demands, but may sit in hot reserve during low periods of demand, and

o peak generation units, with relatively low initial capital costs and relatively high operating costs, that are operated only during periods of high demand.

In order to determine the economically optimal resource mix, (e.g. to trade off the capital cost against the operating cost given the length of time the resource will operate), the expected load shape must be known.

Load shape is also quite important in power system operation. All plants must occasionally be taken out of service for maintenance-maintenance should be scheduled so that the opportunity cost of having the plant out of service is minimized. As is the case in power system planning, some knowledge of the expected load shape is necessary in order to optimize plant maintenance scheduling.

2.3.1 Load Research Analysis

Load Shape: Bonneville is enhancing its load-shape forecasting methodology, relying on the Hourly Electric Loads Model (HELM). Currently, BPA is constructing the data necessary to drive the model from secondary sources, and deriving preliminary forecasts of load shape to evaluate the usefulness of HELM.

The ELCAP database will provide field data capable of supporting the load shape inputs for HELM. ELCAP could support the development of a load shape forecasting model which endogenously determines load shape as a function of weather, capital stock, economic, and demographic variables. ELCAP will be required to support the derivation of load shape profiles by end-use for both the residential and commercial sectors, and may be used to develop weather response functions for the weather dependent end-uses in HELM.

Contribution to Load Shape: A related topic is the contribution of the various end-uses to the system load shape. By understanding what the composition of system load at a particular time is likely to be, a number of strategies can be devised to either control or build load. In other words, before a direct load control program is instituted for water heaters, an understanding of the magnitude of load likely to be controlled at the system peak is desirable. Similarly, if the desired effect is to build load at off-peak hours or seasons, then the potential for additional use at off-peak hours (in part a function of the current use at off-peak hours) must be estimated.

2.3.2 Load Research Ancillary Data Requirements

It is desirable to collect the aggregate system load shape over the time span of metering, so that it will be possible to examine the contribution to peak demand of the aggregation of site end-use load profiles. Additionally, characteristics of the

occupants, buildings, and their capital stocks are necessary to construct a load shape model.

2.4 EXTRAPOLATION TO THE REGION

An important aspect of the ELCAP data, as well as the analyses to be conducted, is the extent to which they can be generalized to the region. In the residential metering study, strata weights will be stored in the database for generalization from the metering study to the PNRES sample, and from PNRES to the region as a whole.

For the commercial study, however, no statistical basis for generalization to the region as a whole can be provided. Extrapolation will depend upon a thorough understanding of what drives energy consumption by end-use in commercial buildings. If the ELCAP case study buildings can provide the basis for this assertion, then using data from the regional characteristics survey (to be conducted in FY85), it may be possible to extend the commercial results to the region.

3.0 DATABASE DESIGN

This section outlines the design philosophy for construction of the ancillary database. First, we describe how the data will be accessed and used. Based on the needs for data access, we discuss the requirements of the database manager and select an appropriate tool. Next, the data structures are discussed, followed by a discussion of data entry. The section concludes with a description of the data security measures that will be enforced.

3.1 DATA USE

In the previous section, we discussed the types of analysis anticipated to be performed on the end-use load data and the required ancillary data necessary to support these analysis activities. This section describes how the ancillary data will be accessed and used.

3.1.1 Selection of Building Sites for Analysis

The first use of the ancillary database is selection of metering sites for analysis. Since the ancillary database contains descriptions of the building, its equipment and occupants, and the economic conditions affecting occupant behavior, it must allow the analyst to identify appropriate metering sites for subsequent analysis. It must allow him to define a subset of the 1000 metering sites which have attributes meeting his criteria for analysis. Based upon this list, he must then be able to extract the metered data from the engineering database.

It is not possible to anticipate all of the criteria that may be used to segment the metering sites. For example, the analyst may wish to examine all residential sites where total household income is between \$16000 and \$30000 annually. The database structure should allow any of the possible values for any of its fields to be used to select metering sites.

3.1.2 Statistical Analysis

The data will also be used in statistical analysis. The characteristics of the buildings, their equipment, occupancy, occupant demographics and economics will be used in hypothesis testing of the load data. For example, the hypothesis that households with higher incomes tend to have more efficient dwellings than households with lower incomes can be tested by combining data from the ancillary database with data from the engineering database.

Statistical analysis may also be conducted on the ancillary database itself, without integration of the load data. Detailed characteristics of the metering sites will be collected and

stored as part of the ancillary database—such detailed data has not been collected as part of the regional surveys. Using the strata weights from the regional surveys, it may be possible to aggregate the detailed characteristics to the regional level, or to examine their relationship to the more aggregated characteristics existing in the regional survey databases.

3.1.3 Empirical Analysis

The ancillary data will be used to support development of conservation assessment, load forecasting, and load research tools. For example, ancillary data, in combination with the load data, will be used to calibrate and evaluate thermal simulation models used in auditing commercial buildings.

In summary, the primary uses of the ancillary database will be 1) to identify subsets of the metering sites, 2) to provide the necessary data to support statistical analysis of both the load and ancillary data, and 3) to support the development of load research, conservation assessment, and load forecasting tools. These data uses imply that the ancillary data must be stored in a format that allows sorting, selection based upon a set of criteria, extraction of data into separate datasets, and reporting of the database contents.

3.2 DATABASE MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE

This section discusses the selection of a database manager that fits the criteria listed above. Several additional criteria, however, must also be taken into account.

First of all, the database manager should be a commercially supported product. Development of a database manager from scratch implies that a great number of the problems already overcome by commercial products will be (hopefully) discovered and circumvented. In addition, commercially available products are usually supported by the vendor, which usually implies support of a staff intimately familiar with the design, capabilities, and limitations of the product.

Second, the database manager should allow for flexibility in the definition of the database structure. Perfect foresight as to how the database should be structured is desired—but rarely attained. The database manager should allow the addition of new fields to existing relations, whether the addition is a totally new field or the construction of a field from existing fields.

Third, it should be easy to use the database. We cannot expect the analysts using the database to be proficient in database design and computer programming. A command or query language should be provided so that routine inquiry tasks can be automated or eased. The data entry process should be easy, allowing the use of screen forms similar to the forms from which

is stored in only one relation, with the exception of a common attribute used to relate relations to each other. For example, Section 4 presents a proposed tabulation of the ancillary database contents. A first approximation of the construction of relations is evident in the groupings of the data. For example, the site description data are grouped together in one relation, data on the logger forms a separate relation, while energy rate structure data forms a third relation. Data in the first two relations can be combined using the common field SITEID, a field uniquely identifying each metering site. Information on the utility rate structure can be combined with site information data by cross-referencing the SITEID from the site information relation to the SITEID in the utility data relation, and cross-referencing the EUTIL field (identification field for the electric utility) with the EUTIL field in the rate structure relation.

In order to reduce the size of the datasets analysts will be working with and to simplify database management, three separate databanks will be developed, supported, and maintained. One will contain all the information necessary to support the residential metering sites. Another will contain the commercial data, while a third will contain the system load curve. It is not necessary or desirable to maintain the load curve data within RDB—this data will be maintained in a separate file supported by an extraction procedure that allows the user to extract a specific time frame of system load data.

3.4 DATA ENTRY

To the maximum extent possible, all entry of data into the database will be from previously digitized data. Portable computers will be used for entry of the residential audit data onsite. For commercial buildings, the audit data will be remotely entered by the audit contractor using a forms management system.

The measurement plan data will also exist in digitized format from other tasks within ELCAP. The chief data entry tasks consist of entering the utility specific data (rate schedule, etc.) and providing updates to the database when items change. Some of the utility billing information could require data entry, but it appears that almost all of the data will already be available in some machine readable form.

3.5 DATA SECURITY

The ancillary database will be very valuable. The content and structure of the database must be protected from system failures, unauthorized tampering, and corruption. RDB provides facilities for protecting the structure and contents of the database by limiting actions that can be taken by a qualified user. These procedures will be implemented.

Additionally, the database will be backed up on a regular basis to ensure that a unintentional action by a qualified (or, more probably, unqualified) user does not destroy the data. RDB provides methods for ensuring the integrity of data from system failures, and these will also be fully implemented.

4.0 DATABASE CONTENTS

In this section, we present, in tabular form, the specific data items to be included in the ancillary database. In the tables that follow, each data item is given a name, description, and source. Section 4.1 provides a listing of data items that are needed to support the analysis activities identified in Section 2, while Section 4.2 provides a listing of data that can be provided within the current budget and statement of work.

4.1 PROPOSED ANCILLARY DATABASE CONTENTS

The following tabulation describes, in fairly detailed terms, the proposed contents of the ancillary database. The variables have been selected to support the general types of analysis described in Section 2, although there may still remain some omissions.

Please note that a database of this extent is not supported under the existing statement of work.

Variable

Name Description Source

4.1.1 Site Description Data:

SITEID	Six byte code assigned to identify site	PNL
BLDGSITE	Number of buildings at site	MP
BLDGMETE	Number of buildings metered at site	MP
CONTACT	Building owner/occupant contact	MP
PHONE	Phone number of building owner/occupant	MP
ADDRESS	Address of building	MP
BLDGTYPE	Type of building	MP
STRATA	Strata from which building was drawn	SAMPLE
WEIGHT	Strata weight	PNRES
STUDY	Member of which study (CAP, PNRES, etc.)	MP
MAJUSE	Type of activity (retail, wholesale, etc.)	AUD
	(applicable only in commercial buildings)	
MAJSIC	Major SIC of building (applicable only in	AUD
	commercial buildings)	
FIRMS#	Number of firms at site	MP
FIRML#	Number of firms in metered building	AUD
WEATHN	Id of closest NOAA weather station	PNL
WEATH#	Id of closest ELCAP meterological site	PNL
WEATHZ	BPA climate zone	PNL
CERTDATE	Date logger was certified as working	05
INSTID	Installer ID	MP
AUDID	Auditor ID	AUD

	this site	
4.1.2 Logger	Data:	
SITEID PNRES	6 byte field used to identify each site	PNL,
LOGID SOFTV HARDV ETEST	ID of logger Logger software version Hardware version Percent deviation of logger measurement and utility meter during certification test	MP 05 05 05
GTEST	Percent deviation of logger measurement and utility meter during certification test	05
LOGLOC CHN# CHNTYPE SENTYPE CLBCOEF PHASE ENDUSE CHNDESC	Logger location Number of active channels Analogue or digital Type of sensor used for this channel Calibration coefficient for sensor Voltage Phase if applicable End-use assignment of channel Description of what channel measures	MP MP MP 05 MP MP
4.1.3 End Us	e Data:	
SITEID EUEQ	Six byte code assigned to identify site Channel aggregation equation used to define end-use energy use	PNL MP
EUFUEL EU%MISC thi	End-use fuel type Estimate of % of connected load mixed for s end-use aggregation	AUD AUD
	For Commercial buildings:	
EUMAJSIC EUMAJUSE EUCL1 EUSOF1 EUFUSE1 EUFUSE%1	Major SIC associated with this end-use Major functional use associated with end-use Estimate of connected load on end-use 1 Floor area served by end-use 1 Functional use type served by end-use 1 Percent of floor area served by end-use 1 which is that functional use	AUD AUD AUD AUD
CHOTOL		ALID

Text description of problems in metering

MP

AUD

AUD

CAVEATS

EUSIC1

EUSIC%1

Percent of floor area served by end-use 1

SIC served by end-use 1

Variable <u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	Source
EUCL2 EUSOF2 EUFUSE2 EUFUSE%2 EUSIC2 EUSIC%2	which is primary SIC Estimate of connected load on end-use 2 Floor area served by end-use 2 Functional use type served by end-use 2 Percent of floor area served by end-use 2 which is that functional use SIC served by end-use 2 Percent of floor area served by end-use 2 which is primary SIC	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD
	*	
EUCLN EUSOFN EUFUSEN EUFUSE%N EUSICN EUSIC%N HVAC1TYP HVAC1FUL	Estimate of connected load on end-use N Floor area served by end-use N Functional use type served by end-use N Percent of floor area served by end-use N which is that functional use SIC served by end-use N Percent of floor area served by end-use N which is primary SIC Type of primary HVAC system Primary HVAC system fuel	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD
HVAC1SQF HVAC2TYP HVAC2FUL HVAC2SQF	Floor area served by HVAC system 1 HVAC system type, HVAC system 2 HVAC system 2 fuel Floor area served by HVAC system 2	AUD AUD AUD AUD
HVACNTYP HVACNFUL HVACNSQF	HVAC system type, HVAC system N HVAC system N fuel Floor area served by HVAC system N	AUD AUD AUD
	For Residential Buildings:	
EUCL#X EUCL#	Connected load of appliance X to end-use # Total connected load on end-use #	AUD, MP CONSTRUCT
4.1.4 Utilit	y Data:	
SITEID EACCTN EACCT#	Name of Electric account Electric billing account number	AUD MP

AUD = Audit CONST = Constructed value
Utility = obtained from utilities PNL = PNL assigned value
MP = Measurement Plan 05 = PNL task 05
PNRES = Pacific Northwest Residential Energy Consumption Survey

Variable <u>Name</u>	Description	ı 1	<u>Source</u>
EBILPD EBILUS EBILPK EBILBU EBILRA EMTRS# EMTRL# EUTIL EUTILF GACCTN GACCT# GBILPD GBILUS GBILBU GBILRA GMTRS# GMTRL# GUTIL SACCTN SBILPD SBILUS SBILBU SBILRA SACCT# SMTRL# SMTRS# SMTRL# SMTRL# SUTIL	Electric billing period Electric billing consump Electric billing peak Flag for budget billing Id for rate structure ap Number of electric meter Number of electric meter logger load Electric utility serving Flag for type of utility Name of Gas account Gas billing account numb Gas billing period (date Gas billing use Flag for budget billing Id for rate structure ap Number of gas meters ser Number of gas meters ser Gas utility serving site Name of Steam account Steam billing use Flag for budget billing Id for rate structure ap Steam billing account number of steam meters Number of steam meters Number of steam meters Steam utility serving site Number of steam meters Steam utility serving site Steam utility serving si	option (kWh) oplied to account rs serving site rs serving g site y IOU, PUD, REA, etc. oplied to account rving site rving logger loads e ate) oplied to account umber serving site serving logger loads	Utility Utility Utility PNL MP MP MP PNL AUD MP Utility Utility Utility PNL MP MP MP MP AUD Utility Utility PNL MP
4.1.5 Rate S	tructure Data:		
EUTIL EBILRA EEPBK1 EEPBK2 EEPBK3 EEOBK1 EEOBK2 EEOBK3 EDPBK1 EDPBK2 EDPBK2 EDPBK3	Electric Utility id Id for electric rate st Electric energy charge, Electric energy charge, Electric energy charge, Electric quantity in fi Electric quantity in see Electric quantity in th Electric demand charge, Electric demand charge, Electric demand charge,	block 1 block 2 block 3 rst energy block cond energy block ird energy block block 1 block 2	MP PNL Utility Utility Utility Utility Utility Utility Utility Utility

AUD = Audit CONST = Constructed value
Utility = obtained from utilities PNL = PNL assigned value
MP = Measurement Plan 05 = PNL task 05
PNRES = Pacific Northwest Residential Energy Consumption Survey

Variable <u>Name</u>	Description	Source
,	-	
EDOBK1 EDOBK2 EDOBK3 GEBK1 GEBK2 GEBK3 GEOBK1 GEOBK2	Electric quantity in first demand block Electric quantity in second demand block Electric quantity in third demand block Gas energy charge, block 1 Gas energy charge, block 2 Gas energy charge, block 3 Gas quantity in first energy block Gas quantity in second energy block	Utility Utility Utility Utility Utility Utility Utility Utility Utility
GEOBK3	Gas quantity in third energy block	Utility

4.1.6 Economic/Demographic Data:

Commercial buildings:

SITEID MAJSIC EMPLOY GSALES RPTQTR TENURE OWNER UTIL RENT AVGOCC PEAKOCC OWNTYPE NGASA	SIC used by firm to report employment Firm's employment at this location Gross sales for previous quarter Reporting quarter for EMPLOY & GSALES Date firm occupied these premises Owner occupied building? Utilities included in rent? Monthly rent Average occupancy (employees + customers) Peak occupancy Ownership type (franchise, chain, local) Natural gas available in area?	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD
SITEID INCOME	Residential Buildings: Total household income	AUD
OWN RENT ASSVAL	Ownership flag Rent per month Assessed value, if owned	AUD AUD AUD
ASSVALY HHSIZE	Year of valuation Household size	AUD AUD
AGE UTIL	Age of household head Utilities included in rent?	AUD AUD PNRES
EDUC1 EDUC2	Education of household head Education of spouse	PNRES

AUD = Audit CONST = Constructed value
Utility = obtained from utilities PNL = PNL assigned value
MP = Measurement Plan 05 = PNL task 05
PNRES = Pacific Northwest Residential Energy Consumption Survey

Variable <u>Name</u>	Description	Source
EMPLOY PEEXP PGEXP POEXP TENURE NEW NGASA	Number of household members with full-time employment Price expectations, electricity (%/yr) Price expectations, gas (%/yr) Price expectations, fuel oil (%/yr) Year moved in Dwelling new when purchased? Natural gas available in neighborhood?	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD PNRES PNRES
	for renters only:	
REF6 STO6 OVE6 CW6 CD6 DW6	Response to PNRES question 6	

4.1.7 Building Characteristics Data

Commercial buildings:

SQFT VOL SA SAEXP STORIES	Gross floor area of the metered building Volume of the metered building Surface area of the metered building Surface area exposed to ambient conditions Number of stories (including parking and basements)	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD
STORPAR	Number of stories devoted to parking	AUD
STORBAS	Number of basement stories	AUD
CONTYPE	Construction type	AUD
MASS	Mass of the building	AUD
UA	Weighted U of the building	AUD
WALLSOF	Wall area net of windows	AUD
WALLTYPE	Wall type	AUD
WALLU	Wall weighted U	AUD
ROOFSQF	Roof area net of skylights	AUD
ROOFTYPE	Principal type of roof construction	AUD
ROOFU	Weighted U for roof	AUD
DOORSQF	Door area net of windows	AUD
DOORTYPE	Type of door construction	AUD
DOORU	Weighted U value for doors	AUD
WSQFEA	Window area east orientation	AUD

Variable <u>Name</u>	Description	Source
WTYPEA WUEA WSOFWE WTYPWE WUWE WSOFNO WTYPNO WUNO WSOFSO WTYPSO WUSO SKYSOF SKYTYPE SKYU MVENRATE NVENRATE USEDFN1 USESOF1 USESOF1 USECSOF1 USECSOF2 USECSOF2	Primary window type, east orientation Weighted U for east windows Window area west orientation Primary window type, west orientation Weighted U for west windows Window area north orientation Primary window type, north orientation Weighted U for north windows Window area south orientation Primary window type, south orientation Weighted U for south windows Area of skylights Type of skylights Type of skylight construction Weighted U for skylights Estimated ach due to mechanical ventilation Estimated ach due to natural ventilation Functional use of area 1 Area of functional use 1 Area heated, functional use 1 Functional use of area 2 Area of functional use 2 Area heated, functional use 2 Area cooled, functional use 2	AUD
USEDFNN USESQFN USEHSQFN USECSQFN EMPSIC1 EMPSIC2 EMPSIC3 OCPUSE1 OCPUSE2	Functional use of area N Area of functional use N Area heated, functional use N Area cooled, functional use N Employment in largest SIC Employment in second largest SIC Employment in third largest SIC Peak occupancy in functional area 1 Peak occupancy in functional area 2	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD
OCPUSEN SCHEDULE	Peak occupancy in functional area N Occupancy schedule	AUD AUD

Residential:

Variable <u>Name</u>	Description	Source
SQF SQFC VOL VOLC STYLE YRBLT YRMOD GCVAP FOUNTY	Total living space Area of regularly conditioned space Volume of living space Volume of regularly conditioned space Style of dwelling (ranch, split, etc.) Year built Year remodelled Ground cover vapor barrier? Foundation type	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD
UA FLOUHSA FLOUHST	Average U Area of floors over unheated spaces Type of floor over unheated spaces	AUD CONS. AUD AUD
FLOUHSU WALLSQF WALLU	Weighted U of floors over unheated spaces Wall area, net of windows Wall weighted U	AUD AUD AUD
WALLIM WTN	Wall insulation material Primary window type, north orientation	AUD AUD
WSQFN WTS WSQFS	Window area, north orientation Primary window type, south orientation Window area, south orientation	AUD AUD AUD
WSS WTE WSQFE	Window shading, south orientation Primary window type, east orientation Window area, east orientation	AUD AUD AUD
WSE WTW WSQFW	Window shading, east orientation Primary window type, west orientation Window area, west orientation	AUD AUD AUD
WSW ATCON ATINS	Window shading, west orientation Attic construction Attic insulation	AUD AUD AUD
ATVEN ATU ATSOF	Attic ventilation Attic weighted U Attic area	AUD AUD AUD
DOORN SDOORN DOORUN	Number of doors, north exposure Number of storm doors, north exposure Weighted U value of doors, north exposure	AUD AUD AUD
DOORS SDOORS	Number of doors, south exposure Number of storm doors, south exposure	AUD AUD AUD
DOORUS DOORE SDOORE	Weighted U value of doors, south exposure Number of doors, east exposure Number of storm doors, east exposure	AUD AUD
DOORUE DOORW SDOORW	Weighted U value of doors, east exposure Number of doors, west exposure Number of storm doors, west exposure	AUD AUD AUD

AUD = Audit CONST = Constructed value
Utility = obtained from utilities PNL = PNL assigned value
MP = Measurement Plan 05 = PNL task 05
PNRES = Pacific Northwest Residential Energy Consumption Survey

Variable <u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	Source
DOORUW INTVEN PRIMHF WOODTY WOODOA WOODLO ELECHT ELECHL DIST%I CLOCK AIRT AIRL SEPCON WHS WHI WHT WHLOC WHD%I WHDDLOC WHTEMP	Weighted U value of doors, west exposure Interior ventilation Primary heating fuel Type of wood heating system Outside air for wood heating? Location of wood heating system Type of electric heating system Connected load of heating equipment Percent of ductwork insulated Clock Thermostat? Type of air conditioning system Connected load of air conditioning system Separate conditioning for attached units? Solar assist on water heater? Water heater blanket? Water heater timer? Water heater distribution insulated Hot water distribution location Hot water temperature	AUD AUD38 AUD39 AUD40 AUD40 AUD41a AUD41a AUD41b AUD44 AUD44 AUD44 AUD45 AUD46 AUD46 AUD46 AUD46 AUD47 AUD47 AUD47
4.1.8 Conser	vation Data:	
ECMP ECMNP ECMCOS	Energy conservation measures undertaken as part of a conservation program since 1980 Energy conservation measures undertaken without program since 1980 Cost of purchasing and installing ECM's since 1980	AUD AUD AUD Utility
	For commercial buildings:	
EMP AUDDAT AUDINS AUDREC EMS EMSCON NIGHT	Does firm have an energy management program? Date of most recent energy audit Who performed energy audit Audit recommendations Is an energy management system installed in building? What is controlled by the energy management system? Schedule of night setback of HVAC system	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD

Variab]	e
<u>Name</u>	

Description

Source

For residential buildings:

Flag for rooms not heated during heating	AUD
	AUD
	AUD
	AUD
•	AUD
Bedrooms	AUD
Family rooms	AUD
The state of the s	AUD
•	AUD
	AUD
	AUD
y .	AUD
	AUD
	AUD
Bedrooms not heated	AUD
Family rooms not heated	AUD
27 h and 18	AUD
Other large room not heated	AUD
Other small room not heated	AUD
Number of showers in residence	PNRES
Number of showers with flow restrictors	PNRES
Likelihood of audit	PNRES
Date of most recent energy audit	AUD
Who performed audit	AUD
Audit recommendations	AUD
	Number of living rooms Number of dining rooms Kitchen with eating Kitchen without eating Bedrooms Family rooms Den, study, library, sewing room Other large room Other small room Number of living rooms not heated Number of dining rooms not heated Kitchen with eating not heated Kitchen without eating not heated Bedrooms not heated Family rooms not heated Den, study, library, sewing room not heated Other large room not heated Other small room not heated Number of showers in residence Number of showers with flow restrictors Likelihood of audit Date of most recent energy audit Who performed audit

4.1.9 Load Research Data:

SYSLOAD System load curve

BPA

4.2 DATABASE CONTENTS SUPPORTED UNDER EXISTING WORK STATEMENT

In the following tabulation, the contents of the ancillary database that can be supported given existing project resources is presented. The following conclusions can be drawn:

o The contents are not sufficient to conduct many of the desired analyses,

AUD = Audit CONST = Constructed value
Utility = obtained from utilities PNL = PNL assigned value
MP = Measurement Plan 05 = PNL task 05
PNRES = Pacific Northwest Residential Energy Consumption Survey

۷a	riable	
	Name	

Description

Source

o The data will support cross-tabulation of the load data by major building, occupant, and economic characteristics.

In the following listing, the contents of the ancillary database that can be supported with existing project funds is presented.

						-	
٧	-	2	٩	-	h	ı	-
	α		1	a	u	- 1	~

vai lable		
Name	<u>Description</u>	Source

4.2.1 Site Description Data:

SITEID	Six byte code assigned to identify site	PNL
CONTACT	Building owner/occupant contact	MP
PHONE	Phone number of building owner/occupant	MP
ADDRESS	Address of building	MP
BLDGTYPE	Type of building	MP
STRATA	Strata from which building was drawn	SAMPLE
WEIGHT	Strata weight	PNRES
STUDY	Member of which study (CAP, PNRES, etc.)	MP
EUTIL	Electric utility serving site	MP
EUTILF	Flag for type of utility IOU, PUD, REA, etc.	PNL
MAJUSE	Type of activity (retail, wholesale, etc.)	AUD
r.	(applicable only in commercial buildings)	
MAJSIC	Major SIC of building (applicable only in	AUD
	commercial buildings)	
FIRML#	Number of firms in metered building	AUD
WEATHN	Id of closest NOAA weather station	PNL
WEATH#	Id of closest ELCAP meterological site	PNL
WEATHZ	BPA climate zone	PNL
CERTDATE	Date logger was certified as working	05
INSTID	Installer ID	MP
AUDID	Auditor ID	AUD

4.2.2 Logger Data:

SITEID LOGID	6 byte field used to identify each site ID of logger	PNL MP
SOFTV	Logger software version	05
HARDV	Hardware version	05

AUD = Audit CONST = Constructed value
Utility = obtained from utilities PNL = PNL assigned value
MP = Measurement Plan 05 = PNL task 05
PNRES = Pacific Northwest Residential Energy Consumption Survey

4.2.3 End Use Data:

SITEID EUFUEL	Six byte code assigned to identify site End-use fuel type	PNL
	For Commercial buildings:	
HVAC1FUL HVAC1SQF HVAC2FUL HVAC2SQF	Primary HVAC system fuel Floor area served by HVAC system 1 HVAC system 2 fuel Floor area served by HVAC system 2	AUD AUD AUD AUD
HVACNFUL HVACNSOF	HVAC system N fuel Floor area served by HVAC system N	AUD AUD
	For Residential Buildings:	
HEATT HEATS HEATSOF COOLT COOLSOF	Type of heating equipment Primary heating fuel Floor area heated Type of cooling equipment Floor area cooled	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD

4.2.4 Economic/demographic Data:

Commercial buildings:

SITEID		
INCOME	Total household income	PNRES
OWN	Ownership flag	PNRES

AUD = Audit CONST = Constructed value Utility = obtained from utilities PNL = PNL assigned value 05 = PNL task 05 MP = Measurement Plan PNRES = Pacific Northwest Residential Energy Consumption Survey

Variable <u>Name</u>	Description	Source
HHSIZE AGE UTIL EMPLOY	Household size Age of household head Utilities included in rent? Number of household members with full-time employment	PNRES PNRES PNRES PNRES
4.2.5 Buildi	ng Characteristics Data	
	Commercial buildings:	
SOFT SAEXP STORIES STORPAR	Gross floor area of the metered building Surface area exposed to ambient conditions Number of stories (including parking and basements) Number of stories devoted to parking	AUD AUD AUD
STORBAS CONTYPE UA USEDFNN USESQFN	Number of basement stories Construction type Weighted U of the building Functional use of area N Area of functional use N	AUD AUD AUD AUD AUD
	Residential:	
SQF SQFC STYLE YRBLT FOUNTY UA WALLSQF WINSQF WALLU WALLIM ATU ATSQF WOODTY CLOCK AIRT AIRL SEPCON WHS	Total living space Area of regularly conditioned space Style of dwelling (ranch, split, etc.) Year built Foundation type Average U Net wall area Area of Windows and doors Wall weighted U Wall insulation material Attic weighted U Attic area Type of wood heating system Clock Thermostat? Type of air conditioning system Connected load of air conditioning system Separate conditioning for attached units? Solar assist on water heater?	AUD

AUD = Audit	CONST = Constructed value
Utility = obtained from utilities	PNL = PNL assigned value
MP = Measurement Plan	05 = PNL task 05
PNRES = Pacific Northwest Resident	ial Energy Consumption Survey

Water heater blanket?

Water heater timer?

WHI

WHT

AUD

AUD

Variable <u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	Source		
WHTEMP	Hot water temperature	AUD		
4.2.6 Conserv	vation Data:			
ECMP ECMNP	Energy conservation measures undertaken as part of a conservation program since 1980	AUD AUD		
ECMINE	Energy conservation measures undertaken without program since 1980			
	For commercial buildings:			
EMP AUDDAT AUDINS AUDREC EMS	Does firm have an energy management program? Date of most recent energy audit Who performed energy audit Audit recommendations Is an energy management system installed in building?	AUD AUD AUD		
	For residential buildings:			
RNHDHS	Flag for rooms not heated during heating season	AUD		
AUDDAT AUDINS AUDREC	Date of most recent energy audit Who performed audit Audit recommendations	AUD AUD AUD		
4.2.7 Load Research Data:				
SYSLOAD	System load curve	BPA		